

# In Defense of Speaker Johnson’s Amicus Effort

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2023

No. 155, Original

---

IN THE  
Supreme Court of the United States

---

STATE OF TEXAS, *Plaintiff*,

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, *et al.*,  
*Defendants.*

---

On Motion for Leave to File a Bill of Complaint

---

**Motion for Leave to File Brief *Amicus Curiae*  
and Brief *Amicus Curiae* of  
U.S. Representative Mike Johnson and  
125 Other Members of the U.S. House of  
Representatives in Support of  
Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to  
File a Bill of Complaint and**

---

(Oct. 27, 2023) — Newly-installed Speaker of the House Michael Johnson (R. LA) has recently been vilified because of his role in the 2020 effort by the State of Texas to challenge in the Supreme Court the legitimacy of the 2020 general election. That still-questionable election – keep reading – resulted of course in the insertion into the presidency of the *most* feckless and treasonous presidents in the history of the Republic, bar none. And given some of the incompetent, corrupt and morally bereft chief executives who have occupied the Oval Office since the founding, that is saying a *lot*. And Brandon’s bribery and impeachment issues have yet to run their course.

Specifically, as to Speaker Johnson, the Left has already howled its objections that because in 2020, he supported the filing of an *amicus curiae* (“friend of the court”) brief in support of the Texas challenge to the electoral vote counts from Georgia, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, his leadership “bona fides” were compromised. Seriously?

Left-leaning media outlets (*i.e.*, the Democrat Goebbels-like propaganda apparatus) questioned his purported efforts to “subvert” the [2020 election results](#) and NBC News cited Pravda on the Hudson (aka, the Gray Troll) for the claim that Johnson was “the most important architect of the Electoral College objections on January 6, 2021...” Not exactly ringing praise for the new Speaker of the House.

The facts, however, are these:

- Johnson did not author the brief. Instead, he merely sought – successfully – the supporting signatures of 126 GOP Representatives similarly concerned about the irregularities of the 2020 electoral count in the four named “swing states;”
- For those truly interested in the *factual* irregularities and *actual* violations of state laws attending the vote counts in the four states – as opposed to the misrepresentations of same by the propaganda media – they can read the *amicus* brief [here](#).
- Significantly, note that the Supreme Court ultimately denied the Texas challenge *not* on the merits following a full briefing and oral argument, but instead on the favorite “dodge” relied upon by the Court: lack of “standing” in Texas to assert its claims. The December 11, 2020 “order in [a] pending case” therefore is *not* an “opinion” or “decision” of the Court “on the merits” to be accorded status as binding precedent. Instead, it is merely a statement by a majority of the Court that it did not believe that sufficient “standing” existed to allow the matter to move forward. Significantly, Justices Alito and Thomas filed a “statement” within the order opining that the Texas “bill of complaint” should have been accepted so that an eventual decision on the merits might have resulted. Thus, the *amicus* brief, even if it had been accepted, would have been rendered moot given the denial of the underlying Texas action;
- The Court’s December 11, 2020 order is therefore nothing more than a statement that the Court was not going to hear that particular case, leaving the door wide open for another different future case raising the same issues by a party possessed of the requisite standing. Such a case may well arise in the future, given that a wide spectrum of unexplained “anomalies” which characterized the 2020 general election may yet reappear;
- Finally, with state Democrat election officials trying to register as many illegal aliens as possible before the 2024 registration cutoffs in the various states, including through “automatic registration” upon application for a driver’s license, anything could happen. As discussed [here](#), recall what Joseph Stalin said: “Those who cast the votes decide nothing. Those who count the votes decide everything.”

Long story short: Speaker Johnson was entirely within his rights as a U.S. Congressman seeking the support of his GOP colleagues to sign the *amicus* brief. The fact that the effort failed – that time – says only, “Better luck next time.”

And as he stated in a Fox News [interview](#) during the Jan. 6, 2021 riot, reiterated by NBC, there was “nothing unusual” about Republican lawmakers’ objections to the Electoral College certification and that “there’ve been many objections over the years. I’m here as one of the advocates on the Republican side, stating our concerns about this election and the allegations of fraud and the irregularity and all that,” he said.

The Left and congressional Democrats need to get used to Mike Johnson as Speaker..., recalling that he is now second in the line of [succession](#) to the presidency, right after the cackling Word Salad Queen.